The role of streamers in the deflection of coronal mass ejections
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Abstract. On 2009 September 21, a filament eruption and the associated Coronal Mass Ejection (CME) was observed by the STEREO spacecraft. The CME originated from the southern hemisphere and showed a deflection of about 15° towards the heliospheric current sheet (HCS) during its propagation in the COR1 field-of-view (FOV). The aim of this paper is to provide a physical explanation for the strong deflection of the CME. We first use the STEREO observations in order to reconstruct the three dimensional (3D) trajectory of the CME. Starting from a magnetic configuration that closely resembles the potential field extrapolation for that date, we performed numerical magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) simulations. By applying localized shearing motions, a CME is initiated in the simulation, showing a similar non-radial evolution, structure, and velocity as the observed event. The CME gets deflected towards the current sheet of the larger northern helmet streamer, due to an imbalance in the magnetic pressure and tension forces and finally it gets into the streamer and propagates along the heliospheric current sheet.
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1. Introduction

Since the Skylab and Solar Maximum Mission (SMM) era (e.g. MacQueen, Hundhausen & Conover 1986), the occurrence of latitudinal deflections of coronal mass ejections (CMEs) towards the equator is a well known phenomenon, as well as similar deflections of flare associated shock waves (e.g. Fengsi & Dryer 1991). Later on, in the SOlar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) era, many detailed investigations of deflections have been performed: statistical results show that during solar minima, CME deflections occur preferentially towards the equator, while during periods of intense solar activity both deflection towards the equator and towards the poles are observed, depending on the location and total area of coronal holes (Cremades, Bothmer & Tripathi 2006). Recently, Lopez et al. (2011, IAU Symposium 286, poster contribution) investigated the deflection of CMEs during the two previous solar minima. The authors found that between 60-75 % of the studied events exhibit a deflection towards the nearest streamer independently of which solar minimum is considered. This indicates that the same physical mechanism could be responsible for the observed deflection of CMEs.
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2. Observations

On 2009 September 21, a small prominence eruption leading to a CME occurred. This eruption has been observed by both the Extreme UV Imagers (EUVI) and the COR1 coronagraphs aboard the twin STEREO spacecraft.

The CME was better observed in COR1-B images as a classical three-part structure event, with a bright leading-edge, a dark cavity and a bright core (Fig. 1, top panels). The CME entered in the instrument field-of-view (FOV) around 19:45 UT being observed (as a three-part structure) until 00:10 UT on September 22, while the erupting core was visible until \( \sim 01:35 \) UT. The CME core first appeared above the COR1 occulter at a projected latitude of \( \sim 25^\circ \) South. The core expanded northward until \( \sim 22:30 \) UT, when the top of the core was at a projected latitude of \( 15^\circ \) South, i.e. closer to the equatorial plane. Interestingly, between 22:30 UT and 23:00 UT the CME core underwent a further and faster migration toward the equator, eventually approaching it. The CME was finally observed on 2009 September 22 by the COR2-B instrument as a faint three-part structured bubble expanding along the equatorial plane.

The CME was much more diffuse in COR1-A images and the three-part components were not clearly observed as compared with COR1-B images (Fig. 1, bottom panels). This is likely due to the large separation angle between the STEREO-A and -B spacecraft, making the CME, which expanded closer to the STEREO-B plane of the sky, very faint in the STEREO-A data.

3. Simulations

Using the two vantage points of the STEREO spacecraft, we reconstructed the 3D trajectory of the CME (see Zuccarello et al. (2012) for more details). We found that...
during its propagation the CME undergoes a longitudinal deflection not larger than 10°, mainly travelling along a meridional plane. Therefore, the ideal MHD equations are solved numerically on a spherical, axisymmetric (2.5 D) domain covering the region between the solar north and south pole, i.e. \((r, \vartheta) \in [1 R_\odot, 30 R_\odot] \times [0, \pi]\).

Figure 2 shows the stationary solution for the MHD simulation (a) and the potential field source surface (PFSS) extrapolation for the 2009 September 19 (b). The initial magnetic configuration of the simulation presents a morphology similar to the reconstructed potential magnetic field. We would like to note that the key properties of the reconstructed field, i.e. the asymmetry between the two outer arcades, the northward shift of the cusp of the helmet streamer and the southern pseudostreamer, are all reproduced.

In order to form the prominence and drive the eruption, we apply localized shearing motions along the polarity inversion line of the southern loop system (Zuccarello et al. 2012). Figure 3(a) shows the magnetic configuration of the system after 21.84 hr. The grey scale denotes the azimuthal component of the current density, while the different colours of the field lines indicate different flux systems. Regions of high current density indicate the reconnection location. As a consequence of the applied shearing motions, the
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Figure 4. Comparison between the simulation (dashed line) and the observation (plus signs). (a) Altitude versus time and (b) latitude versus time. Time zero is 20:00 UT on 2009 September 21, corresponding to the time at which the CME was at 2.25 $R_\odot$. This figure is published in Zuccarello et al. (2012).

magnetic pressure increases and the southern side arcade starts to expand. During this expansion, the null point in the pseudostreamer is pushed northwards and one elongated current sheet is formed between the expanding southern arcade and the open field region at the north side of it, eventually initiating the magnetic reconnection. As a consequence of this reconnection, the magnetic flux of the expanding southern arcade (orange field lines) is transferred partially to the central arcade (red field lines), that becomes bigger, and partially to the open flux of the northern helmet streamer (blue field lines). The result of this process of interchange reconnection is visualized in the figure by the cyan field lines, i.e. originally closed field lines belonging to the southern arcade and that now belong to the southern coronal hole.

The pinching at the flanks of the southern arcade resulted in the formation of the flux rope (pink field lines) and during this reconnection process more and more magnetic flux is transferred from the southern arcade to the flux rope. The reconnection at the upper part of the expanding arcade results in a magnetic pressure imbalance between the north and the south part of the side arcade that, as a consequence, is deflected towards the equator. At a certain moment, due to the ongoing reconnection inside the southern arcade and the continuous growth of the central arcade, the newly formed open flux of the southern coronal hole (cyan field lines) will reconnect with the flux of the central arcade definitely separating the flux rope from its formation location and further contributing to the deflection of the CME toward the heliospheric current sheet (see Fig. 3(b)).

4. Discussion

In order to compare the early stages of the dynamics of the event, Fig. 4(a) shows the height-time plot for both the simulation (dashed line) and the reconstructed trajectory of the CME (plus signs). For the purpose of comparison with the observations, we set the origin of the time axis at the moment at which the core of the CME has an altitude of 2.25 $R_\odot$ in both the simulation and the observation. The simulated flux rope has a height-time evolution that is comparable with the altitude reconstruction of the CME. For both the simulated and the observed CMEs it takes about 6 hr to reach an altitude of 4 $R_\odot$ and both CMEs are slow.

In order to further compare the dynamics of the simulated and observed CME, in Fig. 4(b) we show the latitude-time plot for both the simulation (dashed line) and the reconstructed CME (plus signs). The prominence has a latitude of about 35° south and
at time -5 hr (15:00 UT on 2009 September 21) it is evolving in the EUVI-B FOV. In about one and half hour it reaches a latitude of about 31° south and disappears from the EUVI FOV. The simulated flux rope starts from a location of about 33° south and experiences a deflection of about 20° in 3 hr, approaching an altitude of 2.25 $R_\odot$. At this altitude the core of the observed CME is visible in the COR1 FOV and its latitudinal deflection can be followed for another three hours. At 2.25 $R_\odot$ the core of the observed CME has a latitude of about 12° south and quickly approaches a latitude of about 4° north. This latitudinal behavior is well reproduced by the simulation.

Concluding, this study shows that during solar minima, as a consequence of the global magnetic field structure, even CMEs originating from high latitude can be easily deflected towards the heliospheric current sheet, eventually resulting in geoeffective events.
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Discussion

JANET LUHMANN: There were two STEREO viewpoints. Why did you just pick one viewpoint, instead of both viewpoints?

FRANCESCO ZUCCARELLO: Due to the axial symmetry, our simulations are appropriate to describe events that are seen almost on the plane-of-sky (POS) for the coronagraph. This event was seen on the limb from STEREO B; this is the reason why we selected the B viewpoint. However, the simulation can reproduce the reconstructed 3D height and latitudinal time evolution of the CME.